## IUSSP XXV International Population Conference 18-23 July 2005, Tours, France Participant Evaluation

Dear Conference Participant,

language)?

The IUSSP XXV International Population Conference has ended but already the IUSSP is starting to plan for its 2009 Conference. In order to help the IUSSP prepare for the 2009 Conference, it is important to obtain feedback from participants on program elements that worked and those that should be changed or improved. We would be very grateful if you would answer the following questions. (NOTE: check only one answer to each question unless otherwise indicated.)

(NOTE: Participants can either complete the Evaluation Form online OR print off a PDF version and send it to IUSSP).

## The 2005 Conference. Your participation and your appreciation

1.	There were four time periods daily during which regular sessions were lessessions did you attend each day, on average?  a) None b) One or two c) Three or four d) Five or more (I stayed for 1-2 papers and then went to another session	
2.	Did you find the time allotted for each presentation to be a) Too short b) Too long c) About right	
3.	There were 5 poster sessions at the Conference, one each day. How man attend?  a) None  b) One or two c) Three or more	ny poster sessions did you
4.	How many times did you visit the exhibition booths during the Conference a) Never b) Once or twice c) More	nce?
5.	<ul> <li>Did you use simultaneous translation</li> <li>a) During all days of the Conference</li> <li>b) During most of the days of the Conference</li> <li>c) Rarely</li> <li>d) Never</li> </ul>	
6.	<ul> <li>Which language did you listen to when you used simultaneous interpret</li> <li>a) English</li> <li>b) French</li> <li>c) Spanish</li> <li>d) I did not use the interpretation services</li> </ul>	ation?  □ □ □ □ □

7. If simultaneous translation had not been available, would you have been able to understand

presentations made in each of the 3 official languages used at the XXV Conference (answer for each

		French	☐ Yes, correctly	☐ Yes, approximately ☐ Yes, approximately ☐ Yes, approximately	□ No □ No □ No	
8.	a) b)	ere were p None One or tw Three or	WO	ons each evening. How many	of those sessions did you att	tend?
9.	a)	d you atten Yes No	nd any of the Europe Da	ny sessions?		
10.	Coa		ive partial or full financ NOC) to help cover you	cial assistance from the IUSSF or participation costs?	or the French National Org [go to question 12] [go to question 12]	1]
11.	not a) b)	received f Yes No	red YES to question 10 financial assistance from		attend the Conference if you	u had
12.	one a) b) c) d)	Your inst A research Yourself, A funding i) Speci	red NO to question 10, llowing (check all that a citution of employment ch grant that you have drawing on personal fug institution in your horify name	unds ne country	and/or per diem expenses pa	id by
13.	<ul><li>a)</li><li>b)</li><li>c)</li></ul>	Compara Higher th	nan that charged for oth an that charged for oth	gistration fee to be: other international conference er international conferences er international conferences	s 🗆 🗆 🗆 🗆	
14.	a)	computer c Yes No	cyber café was available	e for use by participants. Did	you use this cyber café? ☐ [go to question 15] ☐ [go to question 16]	
15.	a) b) c)	I usually I never w I never ha	you visited the cyber ca waited a half hour or m vaited more than a few nad any wait at all sed the cyber café durin	minutes	use a computer?	
16.	a)	cess to Wi Yes No	Fi connection was avai	lable for a fee at the Vinci Ce	ntre. Did you use the WiFi s	service?
17.	<ul><li>a)</li><li>b)</li><li>c)</li></ul>	Yes for 1 Yes for 2 No, the p			or your companion(s) use	it?

18.	How would you describe your on-site registration experience?  a) I finished the on-site registration experience in a few minutes b) I waited in line for about 30 minutes c) I waited in line for over 30 minutes	
19.	Several printed Conference documents were produced and distributed to usefulness of each of those documents from 1 (of little use) to 5 (highly to	participants. Score the
	<ul> <li>a) Bulletin 1, May 2004 (Call for paper, sessions' abstracts)</li> <li>b) Programme Book, July 2005 (distributed at Conference)</li> <li>c) General Information and Programme Summary, July 2005 (distributed)</li> <li>d) CD rom, July 2005 (includes papers presented at Conference)</li> <li>e) Book of Abstracts, July 2005 (distributed at Conference)</li> </ul>	// ed at Conference) // //
20.	What is your general appreciation of the Conference. Score each item fro or enter 9 if you cannot evaluate the service.	m 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent)
	<ul> <li>a) Scientific quality of the Conference</li> <li>b) Conference website</li> <li>c) Simultaneous translation</li> <li>d) Conference centre facilities (sessions rooms, plenary hall,)</li> <li>e) Audio-visual services for presentations</li> <li>f) Time control system to limit speakers presentations (amber and red leg) Cyber café</li> <li>h) Food service in Vinci Centre</li> <li>i) Hotel reservation service</li> <li>j) Tourist programme</li> <li>k) Quality of your stay in the city of Tours</li> <li>OP Conference. Your expectations</li> <li>In planning the next Conference, were there any scientific topics that you insufficient attention in the programme?</li> <li>a) Yes</li> </ul>	thought were given  [go to question 22]
	b) No c) No opinion	☐ [go to question 23]☐ [go to question 23]
22.	If YES, please list the 3 most neglected topics a) (topic 1) b) (topic 2) c) (topic 3)	
23.	Should the IUSSP continue to sponsor debate sessions at its 2009 Confer a) Yes b) No c) Indifferent	rence?  □ □ □
24.	Many sessions had no discussant. Would you have preferred a discussant a) Yes b) No c) Indifferent	t in all sessions?
25.	Which months would you prefer for the 2009 Conference? (check all that a) No preference b) May	t apply)

	d) July e) August f) Other, please indicate	
26.	The length of the 2005 Conference was 6 days. How many days did you a) One or two days b) Three days c) Four days d) Five days or more	
27.	In 2009, how many days would you recommend for the Conference?  a) 6 days conference b) 5 days conference c) 4 days conference d) 3 days conference e) Other, please indicate :	
Individu	al Information	
28.	Did you submit a paper abstract for the Conference using the online submaterial and the Submaterial Section 1. Yes by No.	mission system (PAMPA)?  [go to question 29] [go to question 30]
29.	Was one or more of your paper abstract(s) accepted for the scientific pro a) Yes b) No	ogramme?
30.	What was your scientific programme role (check all that apply)?  a) Chair of a session  b) Discussant at a session  c) Author/co-author of a scientific paper  d) Author/co-author of a poster  e) Participant, no formal scientific programme role	
31.	What is your sex? a) Male b) Female	
32.	In which geographic region do you reside?  a) Western Europe b) Eastern Europe c) South America d) North America e) Mexico, Central America, Caribbean f) Sub-Saharan Africa g) North Africa, Middle East, West Asia h) South Asia i) South East Asia j) East Asia k) Oceania and South Pacific	
33.	Are you a member of the IUSSP?  a) Yes  b) No	☐ [go to question 34]☐ [go to question 35]
34.	If YES, how many years have you been a member?	

a	) I just joined this year in order to attend the Conference			
t	) I joined in the last 5 years			
C	I have been a member for 5-10 years			
Ċ	I) I have been a member for more than 10 years			
a a b	How many IUSSP previous international conferences (Bahia, 2001; Beij ttended?  1 I never attended a previous conference 1 I attended 1-3 previous conferences 2 I attended 4 or more previous conferences	ing, 1997) have you □ □		
COMMENTS: If you have any other comments on the 2005 Conference or suggestions that you would like to make for the IUSSP 2009 Conference, please share them with us in the space below.				
Than	k you for your time.			